



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

D7

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: WAC 98 074 52627

Office: California Service Center

Date:

AUG 16 2000

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrence M. O'Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

Identifying data should be
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on motion to reopen and reconsider. The motion will be granted. The previous decision of the Associate Commissioner will be affirmed.

The petitioner, an exporter of houseware goods to Bulgaria, seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as its marketing manager. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary has been or will be employed in the United States in a primarily managerial or executive capacity, or that a qualifying relationship exists between the U.S. and foreign entities.

On appeal, counsel submitted a brief.

To establish L-1 eligibility under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L), the petitioner must demonstrate that the beneficiary, within three years preceding the beneficiary's application for admission into the United States, has been employed abroad in a qualifying managerial or executive capacity, or in a capacity involving specialized knowledge, for one continuous year by a qualifying organization.

The Associate Commissioner dismissed the appeal reasoning that the petitioner had not demonstrated that the beneficiary had been or would be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity, or that a qualifying relationship exists between the U.S. and foreign entities.

On motion, counsel argues that the beneficiary is an executive and that her duties should not be considered to be those of a "first line supervisor."

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(14)(ii) states that a visa petition under section 101(a)(15)(L) which involved the opening of a new office may be extended by filing a new Form I-129, accompanied by the following:

(A) Evidence that the United States and foreign entities are still qualifying organizations as defined in paragraph (l)(1)(ii)(G) of this section;

(B) Evidence that the United States entity has been doing business as defined in paragraph (l)(1)(ii)(H) of this section for the previous year;

(C) A statement of the duties performed by the beneficiary for the previous year and the duties the beneficiary will perform under the extended petition;

(D) A statement describing the staffing of the new operation, including the number of employees and types of positions held accompanied by evidence of wages paid to employees when the beneficiary will be employed in a managerial or executive capacity; and

(E) Evidence of the financial status of the United States operation.

Section 101(a)(44)(A) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(44)(A), provides:

"Managerial capacity" means an assignment within an organization in which the employee primarily-

i. manages the organization, or a department, subdivision, function, or component of the organization;

ii. supervises and controls the work of other supervisory, professional, or managerial employees, or manages an essential function within the organization, or a department or subdivision of the organization;

iii. if another employee or other employees are directly supervised, has the authority to hire and fire or recommend those as well as other personnel actions (such as promotion and leave authorization), or if no other employee is directly supervised, functions at a senior level within the organizational hierarchy or with respect to the function managed; and

iv. exercises discretion over the day-to-day operations of the activity or function for which the employee has authority. A first-line supervisor is not considered to be acting in a managerial capacity merely by virtue of the supervisor's supervisory duties unless the employees supervised are professional.

Section 101(a)(44)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(44)(B), provides:

"Executive capacity" means an assignment within an organization in which the employee primarily-

- i. directs the management of the organization or a major component or function of the organization;
- ii. establishes the goals and policies of the organization, component, or function;
- iii. exercises wide latitude in discretionary decision-making; and
- iv. receives only general supervision or direction from higher level executives, the board of directors, or stockholders of the organization.

In his decision dated November 10, 1999, the Associate Commissioner described, at length, the beneficiary's duties with the petitioning company. Those descriptions of duties will not be restated in this proceeding.

On motion, counsel argues that:

[The beneficiary] is an Executive of the company. [The beneficiary] has full authority to hire and fire and has sole discretion of company operations and business activities. However, as previously stated, her primary functions has been and will continue to be the Executive of the company, negotiating and entering into contracts, and essentially, taking the company to top levels of trade between Bulgaria and the United States.

Counsel also states that the "company has hired one full time Sales Agent who is herself a professional, holding a Bachelor Degree and 5 years experience. As well, the company has a full time Secretary who handles the day to day activities, managing of office, correspondence, phone, billing, etc."

In review, the additional information presented on motion is not sufficient in overcoming the objections of the director and the Associate Commissioner. The additional information has not provided the Service with any account of executive or managerial decisions necessary to oversee and manage this particular business. The record fails to demonstrate exactly what the beneficiary has been and will be doing on a daily basis. It must be evident from the documentation submitted that the majority of the beneficiary's daily activities have been and will be primarily managerial or executive in nature. The petitioner has provided no comprehensive description of the beneficiary's daily duties to establish this. Simply stating that the beneficiary has demonstrated her leadership and successfully led her company into business success, is not sufficient in establishing the beneficiary's managerial or

executive responsibilities. The petitioner has not demonstrated that the beneficiary has been or will be functioning at a senior level within an organizational hierarchy. For this reason, the petition may not be approved.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The decision of the Associate Commissioner dated November 10, 1999, is affirmed.